

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/655,743	09/06/2000	Alice H. Howe	MPH 99-46	9068	
. 75	90 05/03/2002				
M Paul Hendrickson		EXAMINER			
403 Main Street P O Box 508			CHIU, RA	CHIU, RALEIGH W	
Holmen, WI 5	4636-0508		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3711		
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	and Address of the Control of the Co		lp			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
A 1 to a Antique	09/655,743	HOWE, ALICE H.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raleigh Chiu	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 18 APPEARANCE; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	(1) a timely filed amendment wheal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ich places the appli	cation in			
<del></del>	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	ne final rejection, whichev	eris later In no			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortener of the shortener of the checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MON I HS from the mailing date of S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Pension and the corresponding amount of the and statutory period for reply originally set in	it is final rejection.  IE FINAL REJECTION.  136(a) and the appropriate expressions of the final Office actions of	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under r (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cano	eling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected cla	ims.			
NOTE:			·			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	lld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	ed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been co See Continuation Sheet.	nsidered but does N	IOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)∏ will be entere elow or appended.	d and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:					
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disa	ipproved by the Exa	aminer.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	71.41.				

Raleigh Chiu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3711

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/655,743

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: while applicant's arguments and allegations have been duly considered, the examiner remains of the opinion that the claimed invention is obvious for the reasons appropriately, clearly, and properly stated in the First Office action and Final rejection. Further, while a prima facie case of obviousness has been correctly established in the instant application, consistent with the guidelines set forth in MPEP § 2100, applicant's arguments relative thereto do not appear to be directed to the aspects on which reliance is made.